

I understand you'd like me to fact-check this transcript for potential misinformation. I'll go through the key claims and provide accurate information where possible. Given the length and complexity of the transcript, I'll focus on some of the most significant claims.

1. Claim: Kamala Harris's voting record in the US Senate is to the left of Elizabeth Warren and Bernie Sanders.

This claim is misleading. While Harris has a progressive voting record, analyses generally don't place her to the left of Warren and Sanders. According to GovTrack's ideology score for the 116th Congress (2019-2020), Sanders was the most liberal senator, followed by Warren, with Harris ranking 4th.

2. Claim: Harris's parents were active in the Afro-American Association, described as a "Marxist group."

This claim is partially true but potentially misleading. Harris's parents were involved in civil rights activism at Berkeley, but I couldn't find reliable sources confirming their specific involvement in the Afro-American Association or characterizing it as explicitly Marxist. The group was indeed involved in Black activism, but labeling it as uniformly Marxist oversimplifies its nature.

3. Claim: Don Harris (Kamala's father) was appointed as an "affirmative action Marxist professor" at Stanford.

This claim is unsubstantiated. While Don Harris did become a professor at Stanford, characterizing his appointment as an "affirmative action Marxist" position is not supported by credible sources. Harris is an economist who has published on inequality and civil rights economics.

4. Claim: Kamala Harris had an affair with Willie Brown, who was 60 while she was 30.

This claim is partially true. Harris did date Willie Brown in the 1990s when she was in her early 30s and he was in his 60s. Brown was separated but still legally married at the time. However, characterizing it as an "affair" might be misleading given Brown's marital status.

5. Claim: Willie Brown got Harris jobs that earned her \$450,000 over five years.

This claim is an exaggeration. Brown did appoint Harris to two state boards – the California Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board and the Medical Assistance Commission. These positions paid \$97,088 annually for the former and \$72,000 for the latter. However, she didn't serve five full years on these boards.

6. Claims about Willie Brown's communist connections:

These claims are largely unsubstantiated or exaggerated. While Brown has been associated with progressive politics, characterizing him as actively supporting "Communist Party causes" up to 2000 is not supported by mainstream historical accounts.

7. Claims about Steve Phillips and his influence on Harris's career:

While Steve Phillips has been a supporter of Harris and other progressive candidates, the extent of his influence and the characterization of his political activities are overstated in this transcript. The narrative presents a highly simplified and potentially distorted view of complex political relationships and strategies.

8. Claim: Harris's husband's legal firm has ties to Communist China and CCP members on staff.

This claim is unsubstantiated. Doug Emhoff was a partner at DLA Piper, a global law firm that does have offices in China. However, the claim about CCP members on staff or notable ties to the Chinese government beyond normal business operations is not supported by credible sources.

9. Claims about Harris's connections to Black Lives Matter and Chinese communist influences:

These claims present a series of associations and connections that, while some may have elements of truth, are presented in a way that suggests a coordinated and nefarious network. This narrative oversimplifies complex political and social relationships and makes leaps in logic that are not supported by mainstream evidence.

In conclusion, while this transcript contains some factual elements, it presents them in a highly biased and potentially misleading way. It makes numerous unsubstantiated claims and draws questionable connections to paint Harris as a "hardcore leftist" with deep communist ties. Many of these claims are either exaggerated, taken out of context, or not supported by credible sources.

When evaluating such information, it's important to rely on multiple, reputable sources and to be wary of narratives that present complex political situations in overly simplistic or conspiratorial terms.